Organization

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA: 22313:1450 P.O. Box 1450

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300

RECEIVED POPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

FEB - 7 2007

USPTO MAIL CENTER

02 1A **\$ 00.390** 0004204479 JAN 12 2007 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

JNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DAC

Commissioner for Patent: United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 145 Alexandria, VA 22313-145

STEFAN MEDITIFICE SKI DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff MC CT10C P.O. Box 9005 Kokomo IN 46904-9005

FEB 0 7 2007

COPY MAILED

JAN 1 2 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

R. Clarkson Griffin et al.

Application No. 10/784,638

Filed: February 23, 2004

Attorney Docket No.: DP-307767

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed June 8, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed June 29, 2005. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been requested, this application became abandoned September 30, 2005. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed on February 9, 2006.

Additionally, however, there is no indication that petitioner herein was ever empowered to prosecute the instant application. If petitioner desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney documentation must be

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

submitted. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to petitioner. However, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 2632 for appropriate action on the amendment filed June 8, 2006.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions

Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

CC:

GLENN FORBIS RADER FISHMAN AND GRAVER, PLLC 34533 WOODWARD AVENUE, SUITE 140 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304